

पूर्व क्षेत्रीय समिति
राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद्
(भारत सरकार का एक विधिक संस्थान)



Eastern Regional Committee
National Council for Teacher Education
(A Statutory Body of the Government of India)

F.No./ERC/APE00846/B.Ed/2020/63427

Date:- 4th January, 2021

Renuka Debi B.Ed. College, PO – Shyamnagar, Betai –Plassey Road, Dist –
Nadia, West Bengal – 741155 (B.Ed.) (APE00846)

AS per Hon'ble High Court of Delhi order dated 23.12.2020 passed in W.P.C
No. 10834/2020 the Hon'ble High Court directs the respondent will take all
consequential steps forthwith including allowing the petitioner to participate in
the counselling for the current academic session 2020-21.

(Dr. Anil Kumar Sharma)

Regional Director

Dr. A. K. SHARMA
Regional Director

Eastern Regional Committee
National Council for Teacher Education
15, Neelkhanth Nagar, Nayapalli,
Bhubaneswar-751102

\$~A-158

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C)10834 /2020 & CM No.33976/2020

RENUKA DEBI B ED COLLEGE Petitioner

Through Mr.Mayank Manish, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR.

..... Respondent

Through Ms.Kartika Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

23.12.2020

%

This hearing is conducted through Video Conferencing.

1. The present petition is filed by the petitioner seeking stay of the withdrawal order dated 2.3.2020 issued by respondent No.2 whereby the recognition of the petitioner institution for running B.Ed. course has been withdrawn from the academic session 2020-21. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner had applied and was granted recognition for running B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 100 students on 30.08.2008 for the academic session 2008-09. ERC issued a show cause notice to the petitioner on 21.02.2019. Subsequent show cause notice was also issued on 15-16.07.2019. After subsequent proceedings on 2.3.2020 ERC issued a formal withdrawal order withdrawing the recognition of the petitioner institution.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that an appeal has been filed. He, however, states that the withdrawal order is effective only from the year 2020-21 and the petitioner may be permitted to participate in the counselling

being an old institution.

3. A perusal of the withdrawal order dated 2.3.2020 shows that the only grievance appears to be that the petitioner was not maintaining the website.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that they are maintaining the website. Necessary documents have been annexed.

4. The petitioner is a recognised institution since 2008. The withdrawal order would create disruption to the students, teachers, faculty etc.

5. In these facts and circumstances, the petitioner is allowed to participate in the counselling for the year 2020-21. The respondent will take all consequential steps including change in the website, intimation to the concerned Department of Higher Education and to the affiliating University within four days from today.

6. The appellate authority may deal with the appeal filed by the petitioner, as per law, uninfluenced by any observations made by this court.

7. Petition is accordingly disposed of. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

JAYANT NATH, J

DECEMBER 23, 2020

n